Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 09/676,319
 BRICKELL ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 MATTHEW T. HENNING
 2131

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) MATTHEW T. HENNING. (3)	•	Examiner	Art Unit		
(1) MATTHEW T. HENNING. (2) John Conroy. Date of Interview: 25 August 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ . Claim(s) discussed: 48.56.58 and 64. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed that claims 48, 56, 64 be cancelled and that claim stamended to correct a grammatical issue, in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The appli representative agreed to the changes. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the allowable is available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04), if a reply to the last office action has already been filed, APPLICIS (VIENA NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LORGE OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THISI INTERVIEW SuBMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATERULED OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATERULED OF REVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATERULED.		MATTHEW T. HENNING	2131		
(2) John Conroy. (4) Date of Interview: 25 August 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference o) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 48.56.58 and 64. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed that claims 48, 56, 64 be cancelled and that claim 51 amended to correct a grammatical issue. In order to place the application in condition for allowance. The appli representative agreed to the changes. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPICAT GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SuBMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATTENENEN OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SuBMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATTENENENENED OF THE MILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATTENENENENED TO THE Office of THIS INTERVIEW. SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATTENENENED TO THE Office of THIS INTERVIEW. SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATTENENENED TO THE Office of THIS INTERVIEW. SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATTENENENED TO THE Office of THIS INTERVIEW. SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATTENENENED TO THE Office of THIS INTERVIEW. SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATTENENENED TO THE Office of THIS INTERVIEW. SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATTENENENED TO THE Office of	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
Date of Interview: 25 August 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Claim(s) discussed: 48.56,58 and 64. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed that claims 48, 56, 64 be cancelled and that claim 51 amended to correct a grammatical issue, in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The applications are application in condition for allowance allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICAT GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATE FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATE FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATE FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATE FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATE FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATE	(1) <u>MATTHEW T. HENNING</u> .	(3)			
Type: a) Telephonic b)	(2) John Conroy.	(4)			
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description:	Date of Interview: 25 August 2008.				
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 48.56.58 and 64. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed that claims 48.56.64 be cancelled and that claim 54 amended to correct a grammatical issue, in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The applit representative agreed to the changes. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICA! GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE SIDSTANCE OF THE TILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. SubMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATED.	Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	t) applicant's representative	e]		
Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed that claims 48, 56, 64 be cancelled and that claim 54 amended to correct a grammatical issue. In order to place the application in condition for allowance. The applic representative agreed to the changes. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICAT GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview.	Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed that claims 48, 56, 64 be cancelled and that claim stamended to correct a grammatical issue, in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The applications agreed to the changes. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last office action has already been filled, APPLICE GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LANDED OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATERULED THE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATERULED TO THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	Claim(s) discussed: <u>48,56,58 and 64</u> .				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed that claims 48, 56, 64 be cancelled and that claim 54 amended to correct a grammatical issue. In order to place the application in condition for allowance. The application is described by the characteristic and the characteristic and conditions are allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPICAT GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATERIED TO THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATERIED.	Identification of prior art discussed: None.				
reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed that claims 48, 56, 64 be cancelled and that claim 54 amended to correct a grammatical issue, in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The appli representative agreed to the changes. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713,04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICAT GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATE FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.		
	reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed a mended to correct a grammatical issue, in order to place to representative agreed to the changes. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no conclude a svailable, a summary thereof must be attached the FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW ATATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE STATEMENT O	hat claims 48, 56, 64 be cance he application in condition for ments which the examiner agi ppy of the amendments that w.l) CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY EXPLIEW SUMMARY FORM, 18	elled and that cla allowance. The reed would render rould render the SUBSTANCE (been filed, APP (DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	aim 58 be applicant's er the claims claims DF THE LICANT IS 'HIS LATER, TO	